STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority (SFA) Name: Scranton Public

Date of Administrative Review: 11/13/2019

Date review results were provided to the SFA: 12/10/2019

Date review summary was publicly posted: 1/17/2020

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in?

PROGRAM	YES	NO	NOT ELIGIBLE
School Breakfast Program		\boxtimes	
National School Lunch Program	\boxtimes		
Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program		\boxtimes	
Afterschool Snack		\boxtimes	
Special Milk Program		\boxtimes	

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions?

SPECIAL PROVISION	YES	NO	NOT ELIGIBLE
Community Eligibility Provision		\boxtimes	
Special Provision 2/3		\boxtimes	

Review Findings

3. Note Worthy Observations:

Superintendent John Pretzer has instituted many procedures to increase the health and wellness of the school environment. Notable on the day of review was a meeting of older students who act as mentors to the younger ones and planning of wellness activities by the student council. Mentoring was evident in the school cafeteria where students took what they were going to eat with little remaining to be thrown but the milk carton and napkin. Superintendent Pretzer's annual wellness assessment in the form of an annual letter to parents asking for their input on wellness activities is a best practice for all schools. Angie Eberle, Administrative Assistant juggles the details of school meal programs successfully. Avis Caron, Food Service director has the ability to menu and prepare healthy student favorites. Thank you to Scranton Public for investing the time for new assistant cook, Ashley Flynn to participate in a New Cooks training to understand her role in the requirements of NSLP. We also encourage Scranton to pencil out the pros and cons of offering a school breakfast program.

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.

	. We	e any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? \boxtimes Yes \square No If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.
A	A. Pro	gram Access and Reimbursement
YES	NO	
	\boxtimes	Certification and Benefit Issuance
	\boxtimes	Verification
		Meal Counting and Claiming
		,
<u>1</u>	<u>inaing(</u>	s) <u>Details:</u> Meal counting is done manually with an error for one student claimed as paid when the claim should have been for free. Angie Eberle, administrator for the program has redesigned the counting system to ensure accuracy.
	1)	N/A
	1)	1.7/1.1
B. Me	al Pat	terns and Nutritional Quality
YES	NO	
\boxtimes		Meal Components and Quantities
	\boxtimes	Offer versus Serve
	\boxtimes	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
		2. Committee of the com
F	Finding	s) Details:
-	1)	Whole grain rich menu offerings did not meet the ½ of all offering's flexibility. Avis has implemented a whole grain rich bread offering on her daily salad bar which will exceed the "1/2"
		offered' rule.
	2)	
C. Sch	,	offered' rule.
	ool N	offered' rule. N/A
C. Sch	nool N	offered' rule. N/A utrition Environment
YES	nool N	offered' rule. N/A utrition Environment Food Safety
YES	NO	offered' rule. N/A utrition Environment Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy
YES	NO NO	offered' rule. N/A utrition Environment Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods
YES	NO S	offered' rule. N/A utrition Environment Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other
YES	NO N	offered' rule. N/A utrition Environment Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods
YES	NO N	offered' rule. N/A utrition Environment Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other s) Details:
YES	NO NO Sindings	offered' rule. N/A utrition Environment Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other s) Details: N/A N/A
YES S B D E	NO NO Sindings 1) 2)	offered' rule. N/A utrition Environment Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other s) Details: N/A N/A hts
YES S B D E	NO NO Sinding(1) 2) Vil Rig	offered' rule. N/A utrition Environment Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other s) Details: N/A N/A